

Appendix 4a: Assessment Summary Health & Wellbeing

**3SIF assessment summary
Health & Wellbeing (adults)**

Organisation	Service description	Assessors comments	Funding recommended
Advance	<p>Minerva Service: Diverting women from crime and helping to avoid homelessness.</p> <p>15% of full service cost being sought.</p>	<p>Main aim is diverting women from crime, and primary outcome of this type considered to be Safer Communities rather than Health & Wellbeing. Assessors concluded that the service may offer possible duplication of the work of the PATHS team.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	Not prioritised for funding.
Alzheimer’s Society	<p>H&F Younger people with Dementia: Peer support for younger adults with dementia, with some targeting to BME communities. Raising awareness of dementia and how this affects the under 65s.</p> <p>72% of full service cost being sought</p>	<p>The service proposes to support very low numbers of people with dementia under 65 in the borough. Providing information on Alzheimer’s and Dementia to the wider public is something that assessors considered should already be provided by local services. There is existing provision in the LBHF dementia strategy for the borough, which addresses younger cohort.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	Not prioritised for funding.
Foundation 66 (ARP Charitable Services)	<p>Alcohol Health & Improvement Service: Home based assessment and support to residents experiencing alcohol related problems and who cannot access site based services.</p> <p>100% of full service cost being sought</p>	<p>A well articulated application, seeking to deliver a service which would provide additionally to existing alcohol support services available in the borough. The service proposes to target particularly vulnerable residents who would likely achieve the outcomes. The service proposes to meet a known gap in existing services to deliver support to this cohort.</p> <p>Recommended for funding for a 24 month term.</p>	<p>Recommend for funding: Oct 11–Sept 12: £45,000 Oct 12 – Sept 13: £45,000 Total: £90,000</p>

Appendix 4a: Assessment Summary Health & Wellbeing

<p>The Barons Court Project</p>	<p>The Barons Court Project: Funding sought to provide a drop in service for people with mental health needs and those who are homeless. The service includes showers, café and laundry, activities and fitness, social activities and trips. Support groups for mental health, women and BME users.</p> <p>54% of full service cost being sought.</p>	<p>A proposal for a useful service that will prevent people with mental health needs reaching crisis point – thus preventing statutory interventions.</p> <p>Assessors concluded this was a well considered application and a service which is considered strategically important. Officers noted a review of mental health services is likely to take place during the next 24 months.</p> <p>Tapered funding is recommended for a 24 month period.</p>	<p>Recommended for funding:</p> <p>Oct 11 – Sept 12 £95,000</p> <p>Oct 12 – Sept 13: £70,000</p> <p>Total: £165,000</p>
<p>Bishop Creighton House</p>	<p>Learning Disability Services – The Mentoring Project: Trains volunteers to mentor people with learning disabilities to support their social inclusion. Services includes trips and events. Part of a broader learning disability service which includes Work wise and Accessible Information Project.</p> <p>52% of the service budget sought.</p>	<p>A well considered application, however the service offers less value for money in comparison to other Learning Disability support service applications received.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time.</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding.</p>
<p>Broadway Homelessness & Support</p>	<p>Health Opportunities Programme aims to improve health of residents who have poor physical or mental health, and/or a history of substance misuse or who are at risk of homelessness. Health coaches,</p>	<p>A well considered application, likely to deliver outcomes with a high proportion of users likely to be at risk of homelessness. The organisation outlined a range of other services which assessors concluded would offer additionality to the service proposal.</p> <p>Recommended for funding on condition of confirmation of</p>	<p>Recommend for funding</p> <p>Oct 11 – Sept 12 £40,000</p> <p>Oct 12 – Sept 11 £40,000</p>

Appendix 4a: Assessment Summary Health & Wellbeing

	<p>plus support from Mental health and Substance Misuse workers. Providing a key worker approach service. Previously funded by health.</p> <p>60% of full service cost is sought.</p>	<p>match funding and on condition of achieving a throughput of users.</p> <p>Funding is recommended for a 24 month term.</p>	<p>Total: £80,000</p>
CITAS	<p>Bi-lingual Health & Social Care Navigation Project advice and assistance to access health services for Arabic, Somali, Farsi and Polish community. Based at 3 GP surgeries, would offer interpreting and advocacy support to those for whom language is a significant barrier in accessing services.</p> <p>91% of the full service cost being sought.</p>	<p>LBHF currently provides interpreting and translation support (chiefly through CITAS) to assist residents to access council services. Likewise, health services also offer language and interpreting support for users who do not speak English. This service offers additionality, with service users more proactively supported to ensure they are aware of and are able to access service. Whilst a worthy proposal, given the level of demand for funding, and the existing provision of language, interpreting and advocacy services in the borough, additional language support for local residents is not considered a priority at this time.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding.</p>
Family friends	<p>Parent Befriending: support for parents facing health inequalities.</p> <p>74% of the full service cost being sought</p>	<p>Service considered to be prohibitively expensive. Alternative services and support is already available for the target beneficiaries.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding.</p>
Foundations UK	<p>Foundation UKs services for adult health and wellbeing. Step Forward to Health – targets</p>	<p>The service would aim to target obesity; however this is considered to be a health priority rather than local authority. Extensive weight management services are</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding.</p>

Appendix 4a: Assessment Summary Health & Wellbeing

	<p>people with BMI over 35. 3 ten week programme to support weight loss and transition to healthier, more active lifestyle. Includes motivational element to encourage shift in lifestyle.</p> <p>52% of the full service cost being sought</p>	<p>already available to residents, including Weight Watchers, Rosemary Conley etc, which also include elements of understanding motivation and exercise.</p> <p>Assessors recommend the organisation considers establishing this service as a social enterprise – levying a small fee to participants, who may be better motivated to ensure they undertake what is needed to manage their weight and lifestyle if they are paying for a support service.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time.</p>	
Grove Neighbourhood Centre	<p>Grove Neighbourhood Centre. Provision of a community centre – infrastructure to support health and wellbeing activities for the community.</p> <p>Aims to benefit 7850 users, though 51% are either children or older people and not prioritised for this service area.</p> <p>23% of full service costs being sought.</p>	<p>The proposed service is provision of a community centre, and assessors concluded it is unlikely to directly deliver the outcomes as the outcomes would be delivered by other organisations hiring the centre, rather than by the centre itself. The centre is well presented and well regarded, but funding a community centre is not a priority for this service area. The services based at the centre would be able to access alternative premises to offer their activities. GNC have a long lease for this building, which gives them a very secure base for additional fundraising.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	Not prioritised for funding.
H&F Caring for Carers	<p>Caring for carers: Funding sort to provide weekly support meetings, keep fit classes, craft classes and trips to places of interest for carers.</p>	<p>Unfortunately the application did not pass stage 1 assessment as the application was incomplete and over 50% of supporting documentation was not submitted.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time.</p>	Not recommended for funding

Appendix 4a: Assessment Summary Health & Wellbeing

<p>HF Mind</p>	<p>Befriending: 6 month preventative befriending support to people with serious mental health needs to access social activities and networks. Volunteer co-coordinator to be provided by HFVC. An existing service previously funded by the NHS.</p> <p>100% of full service costs being sought.</p>	<p>Needs of this particular cohort of users is well presented – however, H&F NHS have agreed to consider funding this service.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding</p>
<p>HAFAD</p>	<p>Peer Support Project: service to engage disabled people to access mainstream and universal services. Includes themed workshops. Volunteers will facilitate workshops, support users to access opportunities, share their experiences, and maintain Access2Info website.</p> <p>64% of full service costs being sought.</p>	<p>Assessors concluded the applicant presented a well considered application that would make good use of volunteers. HAFAD proposes to secure external funding to sustain the service, however officers concluded that a social enterprise or membership subscription basis could also be considered by the organisation. Assessors felt the service would likely deliver the specification outcomes, but funding beyond 1 year will be subject to HAFAD demonstrating that the service is supporting and promoting autonomy and independence. The Access2Info element of the service is not prioritised for funding.</p> <p>Funding recommended for 1 year, with the option for extending for a further period of 12 months, subject to performance.</p>	<p>Recommended for funding</p> <p>Oct 11 – Sept 12 £40,000</p> <p>Oct 12 – Sept 13 £40,000 (subject to satisfactory performance in year 1)</p> <p>Total: £80,000</p>
<p>Hammersmith Community Gardens Association</p>	<p>Grow Well: Volunteer gardening/healthy eating sessions (3 per week), targeted at vulnerable due to substance misuse, mental health, disability,</p>	<p>An interesting service proposal, however, assessors concluded that HCGA existing services could be adjusted to include an intake cohort that would target the proposed client group for a 12 week programme to support them into mainstream gardening activities.</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding</p>

Appendix 4a: Assessment Summary Health & Wellbeing

	<p>poor health or carers who are not able to participate in HCGA mainstream services due to lack of adequate support</p> <p>71% of full service costs being sought.</p>	<p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	
Insights for Life	<p>As 2 Become 3: Skills and knowledge for first time parents, especially fathers. Managing transition to parenthood.</p> <p>36% of full service costs being sought.</p>	<p>Charge for courses, so need to understand what LBHF funding is sought for, and how much this service is self financing. NCT and other provision quoted as supporting parents through birth and ante natal, but not transition into parenthood. An interesting service proposal; however there is extensive alternative sources of support.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time.</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding.</p>
MENCAP	<p>Safety Net People First Requesting funding for an existing post, supporting peer advocacy of 200 residents with learning disabilities. Users to participate in local decision making, consultations and meetings. Includes “speaking up” training and running a night club. Provide service user panels for interviews for LD services; facilitate independent user groups (e.g. Options).</p> <p>100% of full service costs being sought.</p>	<p>The advocacy role has a good fit with the service specification outcomes, although assessors noted that mainstream advocacy services are separately commissioned. The service proposes to support a good number of users, although throughput of users is unlikely to be high. However the impact of this service on the lives of a key vulnerable group is well demonstrated. A user led organisation, with clearly demonstrated measures of success. Likely to achieve the specification outcomes for people with learning disabilities.</p> <p>Recommended for funding for a 24 month term.</p>	<p>Recommended for funding: Oct 11 – Sept 12: £45,000</p> <p>Oct 12 – Sept 13: £45,000</p> <p>Total: £90,000</p>

Appendix 4a: Assessment Summary Health & Wellbeing

<p>Notting Hill Housing Group</p>	<p>The Living Centre South: Assistance for older people in sheltered housing in the south of the borough.</p> <p>41% of full service cists being sought.</p>	<p>73% of users anticipated to be age 65+, which is not a priority for this service area. Assessors concluded that a range of services and activities were funded under 3SIF Health & Wellbeing (older people) from October 2010, e.g. Fulham Good Neighbours, Age Concern – which all operate in the south of the borough. In addition, H&F Circle also provides socialising and networking opportunities for residents in the borough</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding</p>
<p>Opportunity for All</p>	<p>Project Involve: Awareness raising and improving BME access to mental health and substance misuse services. Proposing to replicate outreach model currently employed for HIV services.</p> <p>100% of full service cists being sought.</p>	<p>An interesting service proposal, planned to deliver a service based on a highly successful existing service model. Assessors recommend funding contribution towards a one year pilot.</p> <p>Recommended for funding for 12 months.</p>	<p>Recommended for funding</p> <p>Oct 11 – Sept 12 £35,000</p> <p>Total: £35,000</p>
<p>Pamodzi</p>	<p>HIV and sexual health service: Sexual health champions 1-2-1 and group activities including outreach events for 50 African female beneficiaries. 3 week skills training programme.</p> <p>100% of full service costs being sought.</p>	<p>The service aims to conclude by October 2011, and is therefore not in the right timeframe for 3SIF funding, which does not commence until October 11. All activities proposed are to take place prior to funding commencement.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding</p>
<p>The Princes Trust</p>	<p>GetStarted with Football: 1 week engagement programme</p>	<p>Assessors concluded the service would not sufficiently deliver the specification outcomes. This is an existing</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding.</p>

Appendix 4a: Assessment Summary Health & Wellbeing

	<p>to build soft skills and personal development for hard to reach young people, then 3 month progression support to assist into further education, employment and training. In partnership with local football clubs, inspires and motivates to stay active and work towards further training and progression. Level 1 badge in football coaching. For 16-25 year olds only</p> <p>100% of full service costs being sought.</p>	<p>programme of the Prince's Trust and it was unclear how it is funded in other areas, or why the existing programme cannot support H&F participants.</p> <p>The application was also considered under Arts, Culture & Sport service area, but under both that and Health & Wellbeing (adults), due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	
<p>QPR in the community</p>	<p>Application is for two separate services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extra Time over 60s club (ETo60): physical activity and health sessions for older residents over 60. Request includes money for food and Christmas party. <p>97% of full service costs being sought</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kick Off @ Broadway: Sports activities for users of Broadway homelessness services, including health talks and football league for homeless individuals <p>100% of full service costs being sought.</p>	<p>Extra Time over 60's club: target beneficiaries are all 60+, and therefore are not prioritised for this service area. Assessors concluded that the service clearly duplicates a range of alternative provision available to older people in the borough. The service has not been previously funded by the council and officers were unclear why QPR in the Community cannot deliver this service from within QPR resources.</p> <p>Kick off @ Broadway: Health talks are already provided by Broadway. A football league for homeless individuals is not considered a priority, plus a similar service appears to be available through Streetleague.co.uk</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding</p>

Appendix 4a: Assessment Summary Health & Wellbeing

<p>Staying Put</p>	<p>Health Trainers: Service to support carers with support, motivation and access to other services</p> <p>100% of full service costs being sought.</p>	<p>All carers services are commissioned through Carers Commissioning, and reasonable level of support is considered to be available. Assessors concluded that the application did not sufficient make the business case for a further specific services for carers.</p> <p>Due to the high competition for funding, this service is not prioritised at this time</p>	<p>Not prioritised for funding</p>
<p>West London Centre for Counselling</p>	<p>West London Centre for Counselling: Free counseling therapy for 1682 clients who would not normally be able to access these services through a GP. largest counselling service currently operating in H&F 18% funding of the service sought</p>	<p>Main provision is currently commissioned from GPs/NHS, however assessors conclude that this service offers a highly valuable preventative service, that undoubtedly averts mental health crisis, often for those not with an existing mental health needs and offers additionality to existing provision.</p> <p>Recommended for funding for a 24 month period.</p>	<p>Recommend for funding</p> <p>Oct 11 – Sept 12: £45,000 Oct 12 – Sept 13 £40,000 Total: £85,000</p>